

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 SYNOPSYS, INC.,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.
11 RICOH CO., LTD.,
12 Defendant.

13 RICOH CO., LTD.,

14 Plaintiff,
15 v.
16 AEROFLEX, et al.,
17 Defendants.

18
19
20 No. C-03-2289 MJJ (EMC)
21 No. C-03-4669 MJJ (EMC)

22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **AMENDED ORDER RE MOTION FOR**
PROTECTIVE ORDER RE KOWALSKI
DEPOSITION
(Docket No. 159 in No. C-03-2289; Docket
No. 227 in No. C-03-4669)

29
30 This order amends the Court's previous order, issued on July 28, 2004, regarding the motion for
31 protective order. *See* Docket No. 163 in No. C-03-2289; Docket No. 231 in No. C-03-4669.

32 First, the caption in the Court's previous order contained a clerical error. The caption should have
33 read "Order Granting Motion for Protective Order" rather than "Order Denying Motion for Protective
34 Order."

35 Second, the parties filed a letter on July 28, 2004, asking for clarification of the Court's intent with
36 respect to the production of documents from Dr. Kowalski. *See* Docket No. 164 in No. C-03-2289;
37 Docket No. 232 in No. C-03-4669. (The Kowalski subpoena sought production of documents by July
38 30, 2004.) To clarify, by granting the motion for protective order, the Court intended that the deposition of

1 Dr. Kowalski should not proceed *and* that the documents sought from Dr. Kowalski in the subpoena
2 should not be produced.

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5

6 Dated: July 28, 2004

7

/s/
8 EDWARD M. CHEN
9 United States Magistrate Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28